Corporate Governance – Quarter 2 2012-13

1.1 SERVICE DASHBOARD

| Revenue budget actual variance £000 ^[1] | Capital actual variance £000 | • | Managing the Business | People Performance management | Key projects |
|--|---------------------------------|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------|
| 155 | 0 | 1 | 4 | -3.5 | n/a |

1.2 TOP ACHIEVEMENTS AND ACTIONS

| Top 3 Achievements | Top three challenges | Actions required |
|--|--|--|
| Financial Investigation – first Confiscation Order awarded to LBB for £109K. This resulted from a Trading Standards case where CAFT were able to apply the Proceeds of Crime Act to remove funds from criminals so that it can be used for the benefit of the community. | To undertake completion of work to review the Council's Constitution. | To submit clear and concise sections of the Council's Constitution to Members of the Constitution, Ethics and Probity Committee for determination. |
| The successful transfer of the Legal Service to the Joint Legal Service and establishment thereof, through the Inter Authority Agreement with the London Borough of Harrow. | For the Electoral Registration Team to continue to achieved of a high percentage of canvassing results. | To maintain strong procedural arrangements in order to ensure that a high rate of returns are received. |
| 100% completion of Freedom of Information (FOI) requests within twenty working days for September, 2012 and 94% completion overall for quarter 2. | Maintaining a well-managed transitional period following the transfer of the Corporate Governance Directorate to the Assurance Directorate within the new Corporate Structure. | To continue to demonstrate fluid working arrangements and ensure that high levels of cohesion are maintained. |

1.3 SUMMARY OF THE SERVICES PERFORMANCE

The Corporate Governance directorate is pleased to report positive outturn figures and direction of travel for its Corporate Plan Indictor. Following the successful implementation of the new casework management system (iCasework) which was implemented in quarter 1 coupled with a solid performing team the combination has resulted in efficient working arrangements. Therefore the result in performance is high

2. DELIVERING THE CORPORATE PLAN

2.1 How the service is performing against its Corporate Plan indicators

| CPI NO | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Variance | Benchmarking |
|-----------|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|
| МТВ9 | Percentage of FOI requests responded to within 20 working days | Jul 12 - Sept 12 | 76.7% | 90.0% | 367/390 | 94.1% | 4.6% | 22.6% | No benchmarking figures available. |

^{*}The relevant previous outturn used will either be the previous quarter, or the same quarter of the previous year. The same quarter of the previous year will be used for annual indicators, cumulative indicators (where the numbers add up during the year and are reported as 'year to date') and if the indicator is affected by seasonal fluctuations.

The SIRT team have been working continually with services across the Council and have reached a consistent approach across all directorates in order to respond to FOI requests within 20 working days. Key officers across the Council have received the required training and a strong focus has been embedded across the Council with regards to highlighting the importance of responding to FOI requested in a appropriate manner whilst recognise the impact non-compliance may have to the reporting of performance measures and the timely effects this may have to the requester.

3. RESOURCES AND VALUE FOR MONEY

3.1 Revenue

| | | Var | iations | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|--|-------------------------------|
| Description | | Budget V1 | Q2 Forecast 2012/13 | Variation | Comments | % Variation of revised budget |
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | | |
| Legal Services | 1,750 | 1,778 | 2,068 | | Overpend due to Transition costs £32k plus pressures from counsel fees £57k and income £58k and contribution to JLS overheads of £143k | 16.3% |
| Governance Services | 654 | 662 | 661 | (1) | | -0.2% |
| Members | 1,591 | 1,588 | 1,469 | (119) | Due to under spend on training, members allowances and vacancies | -7.5% |
| Corporate Anti Fraud Team | 722 | 722 | 726 | 4 | | 0.6% |
| Elections | 421 | 421 | 461 | 40 | Overspend due to canvassing costs associated with | 9.5% |
| Civil Protection | 175 | 175 | 174 | (1) | | -0.6% |
| Standard & Info Rights Team | 230 | 222 | 214 | (8) | Under spend on salary offsetting overspend on lcasework software system | -3.6% |
| Corporate Governance Directors | 316 | 232 | 190 | (42) | Salary under spend | -18.1% |
| Leaders Office | 10 | 10 | 2 | (8) | | -80.0% |
| Insurance | (10) | (10) | (10) | - | | 0.0% |
| Total | 5,859 | 5,800 | 5,955 | 155 | | 2.7% |

3.2 Capital

| | 2012/13 Latest Approved Budget | Additions/ Deletions recommended to Dec CRC | Slippage / Accelerated Spend recommended to Dec CRC | 2012/13 Budget (including Dec CRC) | Forecast to year end | Variance from Approved Budget | % slippage of 2011/12 Approved Budget |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | £000 | % |
| Corporate Governance Projects | 29 | - | - | 29 | 29 | - | 0% |
| Corporate Governance | 29 | - | - | 29 | 29 | - | 0% |

4. MANAGING THE BUSINESS

4.1 How the service is managing its business

| | Indicator description | Period Covered | Previous relevant outturn | Target | Numerator and Denominator | Outturn | Target Variance | DoT Variance |
|--------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------------|--------|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------|
| _ | Percentage of savings achieved | July 12 – Sept 12 | 100% | 100% | 160/160 | 100% | 0% | ⇔ 0% |
| Finance indicators | Percentage of the capital programme slipped | July 12 – Sept 12 | 0% | 20% | 0/29 | 0% | 100% | ⇔ N/A |
| HR | Reducing sickness absences to 6 days per employee (rolling 12 months) | Oct 11-Sept 12 | 5.7 | 6 | 499/131 | 5.6 | 6 % | 1.9% |
| indicators | Qualitative assessment of Workforce stability | July 12 – Sept 12 | Green | n/a | n/a | Green | ⇔ | n/a |
| | Completion of individual Objective setting | Apr 12 – Mar 13 | n/a | 100% | 24/37 | 64.9% | 35.1% | n/a |
| Complaints | Percentage of complaints responded to within policy guidelines (Statutory) | July 12- Sept 12 | n/a | 80% | None received | n/a | ⇔ n/a | n/a |
| FOI | Percentage of FOI requests responded to within 20 working days | July 12- Sept 12 | 95.2% | 90% | 51/52 | 98.1% | 9% | 3.1% |

As highlighted within the above table, performance has been recorded with a majority of targets achieved whilst direction of travel is generally positive. Work has been identified in order to address the completion of individual objectives which it is hoped to be reflected throughout the performance year. It should also be noted that the reduction of sickness days has seen an improved target variance which is improved on since quarter 1.

4.2 Managing the business: People Performance management

| Performance Indicator | Period covered | Target | Amber criteria | Q2 Actual (No.) | Q2 Actual % of total | Q2 (numerator/ denominator) | Target Variance | Q2 DoT | Council Average | Benchmarking | |
|--|-------------------------------|------------|----------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|--|---|--|
| | | | | A | ttendance | | | | | | |
| Average number of sickness absence days per employee (Rolling year) | Oct 11 - 6 6 - 6.5 Sept 12 | | 5.6 | N/A | 398/70.57 | 6.7% | 1.9% | 7.7 | 10.1 days (CIPFA, All Members & other Unitary Authorities 2011) | | |
| Average number of absence days per employee this quarter (target is seasonally adjusted) | July 12 - Sept 12 | 1.44 | 1.45 - 1.57 | 1.5 | N/A | 89.12/61.32 | -0.7% | V 31.8% | 1.9 | 2.25 days (CIPFA, All Members & other Unitary Authorities 2011) | |
| % managers submitting a monthly absence return | July 12 - Sept 12 | 100% | >90% | 10 | 83.3% | 10/12 | 16.7% | 16.7% | 91.7% | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only | |
| | | | | Perfor | mance Revie | w | | | | | |
| % performance reviews completed and agreed for eligible staff only | Apr 11 - Mar 12 | 100% | >90% | 47 | 68.1% | 47/69 | 31.9% | 6.8% | 84.6% | 86% (CIPFA, All Members & other Unitary Authorities 2011) | |
| % objectives set for eligible staff only | Apr 12 - Mar 13 | 100% | >90% | 24 | 64.9% | 24/37 | 35.1% | - 1.3 | 91.1% | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only | |
| | | | | | Cost | | | | | | |
| Variance of total paybill to budget | July 12 - Sept 12 | £1,386,673 | +/-5% | £1,207,009 | -13.0% | 1207009/1386673 | 13.0% | <u>^</u> 192.2% | -11.6% | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only | |
| Management Indicator | Period covered | | | Q2 Actual (No.) | Q2 Actual % of total | Q2 (numerator/ denominator) | Do1 Q2 % | | Council average | Benchmarking | |
| | | | | Div | ersity Data | | | | | | |
| Percentage of top 5% earners that are female | As at 30 Sept 2012 | | | 1 | 50.0% | 1/2 | ▼ 37.5% | | 49.0% | Women in leadership posts 49.9% (CIPFA, All Members & other Unitary Authorities 2011) | |

| Number of BME employees as % of total employees | | As at 30 Sept | 2012 | | 9 | 22.5% | 9/40 | | ▼ 37.8% | 3 | 1.9% | Eth 3 | Black and Minorit Ethnic local popula 33.1% (State of the Borough June 201 | | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------|-------|------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------------------|---------------------|------|----------|---|--|--|
| Number of declared disabled staff as % of total employees | | As at 30 Sept | 2012 | | 2 | 4.8% | 2/42 | | ▲ 83.2% | 2 | .8% | | PFA, A er Unit | 2.33% All Members & ary Authorities 2011) | |
| Employee Relations | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| High Risk - Employee Relations cases as % of total cases | As at 30 Sept 2012 | | | | 2 | 66.7% | 2/3 | | ▲ 55.4% | Ş | .1% | ар | N/A : measure applicable to LBB only | | |
| As at 30 Sept 2012 | ESTABL POSITION | _ | | | PLOYEES CO | VERING IONS AS FTE | MSP RESOURCE AS HEADCOUN | r | NON | MSP RESO Headcou | | AS | | AVAILABLE CASUAL RESOURCE AS FTE | |
| Corporate Governance | 53.36 | 42.06 | | 35.47 | 7.00 | 42.47 | 2 | | 2 | 0 | | 2 | | 1.00 | |

4.3 Key projects

Corporate Governance are currently conducting a review of the Councils Constitution which will be reported to the Constitution, Ethics and Probity Committee.

4.4. Risk Overview

The following is the 5 X 5 matrix 'heat map' highlighting the number of risks at a Directorate Level and where they are currently rated:

| | | | | | IMPACT | | |
|-------------|---|----------------|------------|-------|----------|-------|--------------|
| | | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| | | SCORE | Negligible | Minor | Moderate | Major | Catastrophic |
| PR | 5 | Almost Certain | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| PROBABILITY | 4 | Likely | 0 | 0 0 | | 0 | 0 |
| \T\ | 3 | Possible | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| | 2 | Unlikely | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| | 1 | Rare | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 |

Risk Commentary for Corporate Governance:

The Council recognises the value in delivering a comprehensive approach to Business Continuity which safeguards the Councils critical services.

Corporate Governance understands the importance of this and has therefore appointed a deputy Business Continuity officer.

It should be noted that sections are unlikely to change their risk profile in the short term however further improvements have been identified which may affect the risk monitoring.

The following risk register lists those risks rated as 12 and above:

| Risk | Current Assessment Impact Probability Rating | | | Control Actions | Status | Target Date (Priority) | Target Impact P | t Assessm robability | |
|---|---|------------|--|---|--------|------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| CG0016 - Business Continuity Critical services will not be delivered during a business continuity incident. Corporate BC not resourced to level to satisfy minimum strategy requirements. | Major 4 | Possible 3 | | As part of interim management review, staffing resources being considered. Temp Corporate BC Lead cover in Executive Office | Treat | 01/12/2012 (High) | Moderate 3 | Rare 1 | Low 3 |